Application No. 10/585,172 Response dated: January 9, 2009

Reply to Non-final Office Action dated: October 10, 2008

REMARKS

No amendments have been made and no new matter has been introduced.

Claims 1-33 are currently pending and under consideration. Claims 9-33 have been allowed.

Reconsideration of claims 1-8 is respectfully requested.

102(b) Rejections of claims 1-8:

Various embodiments of the present invention provide the advantage of saving energy and decreasing a size of a recycling apparatus by heating broken pieces of fluorescent lamps at a temperature no higher than the boiling point of mercury, for example (see paragraph [0053] of the specification of the present invention, for example).

At page 2 of the Office Action, claims 1-8 were rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by either JP2000-215811 (hereinafter referred to as "JP'811) the German '311 publication (hereinafter referred to as DD '311) or the German '732 publication (hereinafter referred to as DD '732). The foregoing rejection is respectfully traversed. The Applicants respectfully submit that neither of the foregoing references relied upon discuss "heating broken pieces of fluorescent lamps at a temperature within a range having a lower limit of about 100°C to an upper limit of 330°C to form a gas containing a mercury vapor; cooling the gas containing the mercury vapor at a temperature of about -38°C to about 0°C to form a liquid mercury; and collecting the liquid mercury" as recited in claim 1, for example.

Regarding JP'811:

In contrast, JP'811 discusses a method of recovering mercury whereby mercury adhered waste chip tubes are fed from a feeder 1 to a heating part 20, then a rotary tubular body 8 of a furnace is heated by a gas burner 9 at the heating part 20 and the temperature inside the tubular body 8 is controlled to be within a range of 350°C-500°C (emphasis added) and mercury vapor which is generated in the heating part 20 is then cooled and recovered (see Abstract).

More specifically, JP'811 discloses that the mercury adhered waste chip tubes is heated at a temperature in a range of 350° C to 500° C which is higher than a boiling point of mercury and

21C-0365 LW9103PC-US/JS IY-200310-254-1-US0 Application No. 10/585,172 Response dated: January 9, 2009

Reply to Non-final Office Action dated: October 10, 2008

lower than a melting point of mercury. In contrary, the present invention recites "heating broken pieces of fluorescent lamps at a temperature within a range having a lower limit of about 100° C to an upper limit of 330° C" and the temperature of about 100° C to about 330° C is lower than the boiling point of mercury. The specification of the present application discloses "when the first collection container 100a is heated at a temperature higher than the boiling point of mercury, lifetime of the first collection container 100a may be decreased and the evaporated gas may include impurities such as fluorescent material" (refer to page 6, lines 10-12 of the specification of the present application).

The Applicants respectfully submit that the mercury adhered waste chip tubes are heated at a temperature outside of the temperature range recited in claim 1 of the present invention, for example. Thus, the teachings of JP'811 are fundamentally different from those of the present invention.

Regarding DD '311 or DD '732:

The examiner states that the two new rejections with respect to DD '311 or DD '732 are the same as those set forth in the foreign parent application. The Written Opinion of the International Searching Authority relied upon by the Examiner merely indicates that "[t]he claimed temperature ranges can be drawn at least from documents D1 (DD '311) and D2 (JP '811). As discussed above, independent claim 1 has been amended to define over JP '811. Furthermore, since DD '311 is in a foreign language and it doesn't appear that DD '311 appears to be silent with respect to "heating broken pieces of fluorescent lamps at a temperature of about 100°C to 330°C to form a gas containing a mercury vapor; [and] cooling the gas containing the mercury vapor at a temperature of about -38°C to about 0°C to form a liquid mercury," as recited in amended claim 1.

Therefore, the Applicants respectfully submit that claims 1-8 patentably distinguish over the cited references for at least the reasons mentioned above. Thus, withdrawal of the 102(b) rejections is respectfully requested.

Application No. 10/585,172 Response dated: January 9, 2009

Reply to Non-final Office Action dated: October 10, 2008

CONCLUSION:

All of the outstanding rejections are herein overcome. Reconsideration and withdrawal of all rejections and prompt issuance of a Notice of Allowance is respectfully requested. No new matter is added by way of the present Amendment and Remarks as support is found throughout the originally filed specification, claims, and drawings.

The Examiner is invited to contact Applicant's attorney at the below-listed phone number regarding this Response or otherwise concerning the present application.

If there are any charges due with respect to this Amendment or otherwise, please charge them to Deposit Account No. 06-1130 maintained by Applicant's attorneys.

Respectfully submitted,

CANTOR COLBURN LLP

By: /James J. Merrick/

James J. Merrick Reg. No. 43,801 CANTOR COLBURN LLP 20 Church Street, 22nd Floor Hartford, CT 06103-3207 Telephone (860) 286-2929 Facsimile (860) 286-0115 Customer No. 23413

Date: January 9, 2009